



GXIG: Seeking to Unlock Value Using AI in Investment Management

Robert J. Scrudato
rscrudato@globalxetfs.com

Date: October 13, 2025
Topic: [Options and Income](#)

Technology has played a pivotal role in the evolution of investment analysis. Where an analyst's toolkit might have formerly only consisted of basic screens or linear regressions, enhancements to computing power and the application of machine learning have accentuated the predictive and explanatory reach of these models through time. Adoption has hinged on the need to quickly and efficiently evaluate large repositories of data, and AI architectures like deep learning have stepped in to help facilitate this process.

The Global X Investment Grade Corporate Bond ETF (GXIG) attempts to leverage this budding technology by bringing the power of AI into the fold. It does so by taking a multi-pronged approach to bond analysis, combining a quantitative factor model with a Deep Neural Network (DNN) to evaluate securities across the investment grade corporate bond universe. Human portfolio managers make the final decisions on GXIG's portfolio construction, but leveraging AI helps to quickly and efficiently process mountains of data to help inform the managers' decisions.

Key Takeaways

- Deep learning has transformed the way complex data relationships are analyzed. GXIG's DNN aims to capture intricate, non-linear patterns within data to inform and guide its portfolio management team.
- GXIG's deep learning model is trained through a four-step process designed to establish an optimal architecture for analysis and forecast expected security returns.
- The vast size and diversity of the corporate bond universe make it a potentially ideal candidate for utilization of a DNN to perform relative value analysis.

Investors Can Now Leverage Deep Learning Models for Quantitative Analysis

For decades, factor investing relied solely on corporate financial data and macroeconomic indicators to help develop stock selection models. In the 2010s, however, the training of machines with diverse algorithms helped push beyond the limitations of these conventions and added a new dimension to the investment analysis approach. Now, their application to artificial neural networks, which we now refer to as AI models, takes the process even one step further.

Unlike other generative models such as ChatGPT that have the potential to produce different results even when given the same input, a trained and "frozen" deep learning model, like that which is used in the Global X Investment Grade Corporate Bond ETF (GXIG), will always generate the same output. In fields like financial investment, where consistency and reliability are essential, this determinism is a critical factor. Once trained, a deep learning model functions much like a calculator. Regardless of who is using it or when, the same input will always yield the same output. This allows the deep learning model to uncover highly complex, non-linear relationships within data sets that are difficult for people to detect. With sufficient data and well-structured layers, neural networks can recognize high-dimensional patterns that cannot be neatly deciphered by conventional analytical or functional approaches.

GXIG Takes a Tactful Approach to Building its AI Architecture and Evaluating Potential Returns

The deep neural network employed by the Global X Investment Grade Corporate Bond ETF (GXIG) is designed to leverage the core competencies and strengths of deep learning technology. The model seeks to learn the relationships that exist between the key variables that it is fed to generate potentially reliable predictions of future returns of individual securities. These data sets include market data, economic indicators, and corporate financial data. With guidance from an experienced portfolio management team, a skilled model developer secures as much relevant data as possible, particularly amongst those variables that they believe best explains bond price movements. Through iterative testing, the developer then designed the neural network architecture that is deemed most suitable for this task. The GXIG model has been trained through repeated hypothesis testing and robustness checks to seek to be sure its performance is meaningful and reliable.



GXIG'S DNNs GROUP BONDS, DEVELOP CUSTOMIZED MODELS, AND ANALYZE INTERRELATIONSHIPS

Four-Step Process to Train Global X's Deep Neural Network

1

Bonds are categorized by sector, credit rating, and tenor. As a result, each bond in the universe belongs to a designated peer group of similar sector/credit rating/maturity which are called 'cells'.

2

35 separate security ranking models are developed and used for each cell.

3

Each ranking model utilizes 10 individual DNNs. These DNNs analyze various input data, including macroeconomic indicators, issuer financial data, bond-specific features (such as total return momentum, yield-to-maturity, and spread), and then produce an individual "score" for each bond.


4

The 10 DNN scores within each ranking model are combined (ensembled) to produce a final, more robust score for each bond in that cell.

Step one of the training process is to classify the securities that exist in the investment grade corporate bond universe as dictated by the Bloomberg U.S. Corporate Index. This phase of the analysis is aimed at controlling for variables that fall outside the scope of security selection such as issuing sector, bond duration, and credit rating. Provided that enough of a constituency must be made available in order to train the model within each respective peer group, it breaks down the universe into 35 subgroups known as "cells".



THE DNN ESTABLISHES PEER GROUPS TO CONTROL FOR FACTORS LIKE SECTOR, DURATION, AND CREDIT RATING




In the next phase of the process, separate deep learning models are trained for each of the 35 cells. Market data, economic data, and corporate financial data are all used as inputs and, for each cell, ten independent DNNs are trained to enhance robustness. Through extensive trial and error, combinations of the most representative financial statement items—such as cash flow, operating income, debt structure, interest expense, total assets, short-term investments, and revenue—are tested. Numerous experiments are also conducted on the overall architecture, including decisions about which data should feed into which layers of the neural network, requiring countless iterations to refine the design. The DNNs are aimed at addressing potential issues caused by random initialization in neural network training by being trained separately under the same methodology. Their results are then aggregated to enhance robustness. This ensemble approach can be likened to gathering the consensus of ten different research analysts, each bringing their own perspective to the same question.



MULTIPLE LEARNING MODELS ARE TRAINED FOR EACH CELL, EVALUATING APPLICABLE SETS OF DATA

GXIG's DNN Model Training Process



For illustrative purposes only.

Once the optimal architecture has been identified, it undergoes extensive validation, including backtesting from multiple perspectives, to assess generalization performance. From this process, the final models are selected. These models are stored and used solely for inference, with no further training applied. Based on inference results, ranking tables are created for the securities within each subgroup. The final stage involves verifying performance through backtests on hypothetical portfolios constructed according to these rankings. Ultimately, this step operates at the strategy level, where portfolio managers decide how best to apply the model's outputs to real-world investment decisions. The trained model's output, which forecasts the expected returns of individual securities over the near term, effectively provides a measure of investment attractiveness. Portfolio managers then combine these evaluation results—predicted returns and relative rankings across the entire investment universe—with their own insights and risk management considerations to make final investment decisions, including portfolio construction.

GXIG Offers an Active Optimization Approach to Investing in the Corporate Bond Universe


Application of a deep neural network to the bond analysis process requires elaborate model training and testing to evaluate reliability. To adequately evaluate the merits of bonds within the investment grade corporate bond space, however, this is a process that might be deemed worthwhile. Because the universe exhibits such depth, the incorporation of an AI model to evaluate the interrelationships between hundreds of factors across thousands of bonds can help save time and allow managers to be nimbler. It also helps address some of the more traditional shortcomings that come with pursuing exposure to this segment of the market in a passive fashion.

On September 30th, 2025, the Bloomberg U.S. Corporate Index consisted of roughly 8,500 bonds.¹ And while some of these issues may fail to make the first cut for analysis even in traditionally screened portfolios, ranking investment opportunities across the balance of the securities that fall into this universe can still prove time consuming. Major equity indexes whose names bear their constituent count pale in comparison in virtually all instances relative to a group of this magnitude. Even High Yield Corporate Bonds consist of only about a quarter of the aforementioned total. Add in the wealth of factors that can be analyzed and applied to the right peer groups in the right market environments and the depth of analysis that must be performed can quickly become quite daunting.



THE INVESTMENT GRADE CORPORATE BOND UNIVERSE IS LARGE RELATIVE TO OTHER MAJOR ASSET CLASSES

Index Constituent Count



Sources: Bloomberg L.P. and MSCI as of September 30th, 2025. Equity and Fixed Income subcategories are represented by the following: Global Aggregate Bond Universe, Bloomberg Global Aggregate Index; U.S. Aggregate Bond Universe, Bloomberg US Aggregate Index; U.S. Investment Grade Corporate Bonds, Bloomberg U.S. Corporate Bond Index; U.S. Equities; Russell 3000 Index; U.S. Small-Cap Equities, Russell 2000 Index; U.S. High Yield Bonds, Bloomberg U.S. Corporate High Yield Index; Global Large and Mid Cap Equities; MSCI ACWI Index; U.S. Large Cap Equities, S&P 500.

Passive approaches have moved to tackle this challenge, but a potential shortcoming that arises is in gaining exposure using an index methodology that more heavily weights bonds that have the *most* debt, rather than a *high quality* of debt. Or, if utilizing a high-quality debt index, whether its constituents are still deemed to possess interesting capital appreciation potential. The passive route comes equipped with a variety of other potential pitfalls, as well, including less flexibility around rebalancing timing, requirements to hold securities that might be deemed of lesser quality, or the inability to address credit- or news-oriented events.

Conclusion: GXIG's Cutting Edge DNN Transforms Bond Screening for its Fund Managers

There are many idiosyncratic factors that can influence the value of a bond. But before investors even approach that portion of their analysis, it can be helpful to have a screening process in place. The DNN utilized by GXIG is a fast and tested way for Global X's management team to pursue this screening initiative, and it even has the potential to provide added value by recognizing patterns that might go otherwise unseen. Combined with GXIG's experienced portfolio management, it strives to deliver stronger investment outcomes and more resilient strategies for clients in almost any market environment.

Related ETFs

[GXIG – Global X Investment Grade Corporate Bond ETF](#)

Click the fund name above to view current performance and holdings. Holdings are subject to change. Current and future holdings are subject to risk.



Footnotes

1. Bloomberg L.P.: Bloomberg U.S. Corporate Index member count as of August 30th, 2025. Retrieved October 6th, 2025.

Glossary

Deep Neural Network (DNN): A type of machine learning model made up of many layers of interconnected nodes.

Tenor: The length of time between a bond's issue date and its maturity date.

Credit Rating: Measure used to evaluate the financial strength of a bond issuer, characterizing their potential ability to meet outstanding debt obligations. Typically represented on a scale from AAA to D, where AAA is the highest and D is the lowest. Higher ratings suggest issuers of higher quality debt and lower ratings suggest debt that may not be repaid or is in default. BBB- represents the lowest investment-grade rating, with any lower ratings considered to be speculative.

This material represents an assessment of the market environment at a specific point in time and is not intended to be a forecast of future events, or a guarantee of future results. This information is not intended to be individual or personalized investment advice and should not be used for trading purposes. Please consult a financial advisor for more information regarding your situation.

Investing involves risk, including the possible loss of principal. Fixed income securities are subject to loss of principal during periods of rising interest rates. The Fund is actively managed, which could increase its transaction costs (thereby lowering its performance) and could increase the amount of taxes you owe by generating short-term gains, which may be taxed at a higher rate. International investments may involve risk of capital loss from unfavorable fluctuation in currency values, from differences in generally accepted accounting principles or from economic or political instability in other nations. The fund is non-diversified.

The Fund uses a quantitative model and deep neural network (the "DNN" and, together with the quantitative model, the "Models") to implement its investment strategy. The Models may not perform as intended. The information and data used in the Models may be supplied by third parties and therefore may be difficult to verify; inaccurate or incomplete data may limit the effectiveness of the Models. In addition, some of the data the Models use includes historical data, which may not accurately assess future market movements. The Models will analyze securities or securities markets based on certain assumptions concerning the interplay of market factors and may not adequately take into account certain factors and, to the extent the assumptions or the portfolio managers' judgment are incorrect, the Fund may have a lower return than if the Fund were managed using another model or investment strategy. The markets or prices of individual securities may be affected by factors not foreseen in developing the Models. As market dynamics change over time, a Model that was previously successful may become outdated. The Fund is subject to the risk that the DNN was not able to learn from the data as predicted which could result in lower returns than if the Fund were managed using another model or investment strategy. Errors in input data, assumptions, and/or the design of the Models may occur from time to time and may not be identified and/or corrected by the Fund's Sub-Adviser for a significant period of time or at all. Successful operation of the Models is reliant on the information technology infrastructure maintained by the Fund's Sub-Adviser; deficiencies in such systems could compromise the operation of the Models and could result in losses to the Fund.

Shares of ETFs are bought and sold at market price (not NAV) and are not individually redeemed from the Fund. Brokerage commissions will reduce returns.

Carefully consider the fund's investment objectives, risks, and charges and expenses before investing. This and other information can be found in the fund's full or summary prospectuses, which may be obtained at globalxetfs.com. Please read the prospectus carefully before investing.

Global X Management Company LLC serves as an advisor to Global X Funds. The Funds are distributed by SEI Investments Distribution Co. (SIDCO), which is not affiliated with Global X Management Company LLC or Mirae Asset Global Investments. Global X Funds are not sponsored, endorsed, issued, sold or promoted by FTSE Russell, nor do these entities make any representations regarding the advisability of investing in the Global X Funds. Neither SIDCO, Global X nor Mirae Asset Global Investments are affiliated with these entities.